arXiv:math/0501115v2 [math.NT] 29 May 2006

Equalities, congruences, and quotients of zeta functions in Arithmetic Mirror Symmetry

C. Douglas Haessig*

March 2006

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Application to zeta functions **2**

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1 **3**

3.1 Formulas for $X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in terms of Gauss sums 3

3.2 Finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1 4

4 The proof of Theorem 1.3 **5**

1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is twofold. First, we demonstrate that under certain conditions we may extend the Arithmetic Mirror Theorem of [2, Theorems 1.1 and 6.1]. Second, we apply this extension to the study of the quotient of the zeta functions of X_λ and Y_λ.

With $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we may define a family of complex projective hypersurfaces X_λ in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$x_1^{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n+1}^{n+1} + \lambda x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} = 0.$$

With the group

$$G := \{(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) | \zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta_i^{n+1} = 1, \zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_{n+1} = 1\}$$

we may define the (singular) mirror variety Y_λ as the quotient X_λ/G where G acts by coordinate multiplication. It turns out that Y_λ is a toric hypersurface and may be explicitly described as the projective closure in $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ of the affine toric hypersurface

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := x_1 + \cdots + x_n + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_n} + \lambda = 0.$$

Note, $\mathbb{P}_\Delta$ is the toric variety obtained from the polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with vertices $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, -(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)\}$, where the e_i are the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$. From this description of Y_λ, if we let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements of characteristic p, it makes sense to discuss $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$-rational points of X_λ and its mirror Y_λ when the parameter λ lies in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

When the $gcd(n+1, q^k - 1) = 1$, there are no $(n+1)$-roots of unity in the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. Viewing G as a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$, this means there are no $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$-rational points of G. This leads one to suspect a direct relation between the $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$-rational points of X_λ and Y_λ:

*University of California, Irvine.

Theorem 1.1. *For every positive integer k such that $gcd(n+1, q^k-1) = 1$, we have the equality $\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$.*

If W_λ is a smooth crepant resolution of Y_λ, then there is a rational map from W_λ to Y_λ which is injective on rational smooth points. Thus, if none of the $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$-rational points on Y_λ are singular points, we see that $\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \#W_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$. Consequently, we have:

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose the singular locus of Y_λ contains no $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$-rational points. If $gcd(n+1, q^k-1) = 1$, then we have $\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \#W_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$.*

Next, when $gcd(n+1, q^k-1) > 1$ we may prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $d := gcd(n+1, q^k-1) > 1$. Then*

1. *$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv 0 \ mod\ d$,*
2. *if $n+1$ is a power of a prime ℓ, then, writing $\lambda = -(n+1)\psi$ in the new parameter ψ, we have*

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv 0 \ mod(\ell d) \quad and \quad \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \psi^{n+1} = 1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \ mod(\ell).$$

Thus, combining [2, Theorems 1.1] and 1.3 with the Chinese Remainder Theorem yields:

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose $n+1$ is a power of a prime ℓ and $gcd(n+1, q) = 1$. Set $\lambda = -(n+1)\psi$. If $\psi^{n+1} \neq 1$, then for every positive integer k, we have $\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ modulo(ℓq^k).*

Before discussing the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, let us apply Theorem 1.1 to the quotient of the zeta functions of X_λ and Y_λ.

2 Application to zeta functions

From [2, Theorem 7.3], when $(n+1)|q-1$ then the quotient of the zeta functions of X_λ and Y_λ, when raised to the $(-1)^n$ power, is a polynomial of specified degree. We suspect that the divisibility $(n+1)|q-1$ is unnecessary and may be removed without disturbing the conclusion. Evidence for this is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n+1$ be a prime such that $gcd(n+1, q) = 1$. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $q^k \equiv 1$ modulo $n+1$. Assume X_λ is non-singular and $\lambda^{n+1} \neq (-(n+1))^{n+1}$. Then there are positive integers $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_s$, each divisible by k, and polynomials $Q_1, \ldots, Q_s \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T]$ which are pure of weight $n-3$ and irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that*

$$\left(\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q, T)}{Z(Y_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q, T)}\right)^{(-1)^n} = Q_1(q^k T^k)^{\rho_1/k} \cdots Q_s(q^k T^k)^{\rho_s/k}$$

Furthermore, $\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_s = \frac{n(n^n-(-1)^n)}{n+1} - n$. (Note, the polynomials Q_i depend on n and λ.)

Proof. For every nonnegative integer s and $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$, we have $gcd(n+1, q^{sk+j}-1) = 1$. So, by Theorem 1.1, we have $\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^{sk+j}}) = \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^{sk+j}})$ for every $s \geq 0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$. This implies

$$\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q, T)}{Z(Y_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q)} = \frac{\exp\sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^{ks}})}{ks} T^{ks}}{\exp\sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^{ks}})}{ks} T^{ks}} = \left(\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_{q^k}, T^k)}{Z(Y_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_{q^k}, T^k)}\right)^{1/k} \tag{2.1}$$

where the first equality uses the previous sentence and the second equality is simply definition. By [2, Theorem 7.3], there exists a polynomial $R_n(\lambda, T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree $\frac{n(n^n-(-1)^n)}{n+1} - n$, pure of weight $n-3$, such that

$$\left(\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_{q^k}, T)}{Z(Y_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_{q^k}, T)}\right)^{(-1)^n} = R_n(\lambda, q^k T).$$

Combining this with (2.1) shows us that

$$\left(\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q,T)}{Z(Y_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q,T)}\right)^{(-1)^n} = R_n(\lambda, q^kT^k)^{1/k}.$$

Therefore, factorizing $R_n(\lambda,T) = Q_1(T)^{\rho_1}\cdots Q_s(T)^{\rho_s}$ into irreducibles over $\mathbb{Z}$ proves the theorem. □

As a side remark, for $n+1=5$, Theorem 2.1 explains the form of the zeta function of $Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q,T)$ found in [1].

We note that, for the quintic ($n+1=5$), it follows from [1, Equation 10.3] and [1, Equation 10.7], in which they empirically compute the zeta functions of X_λ and W_λ, that for X_λ smooth,

$$\frac{Z(X_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q,T)}{Z(W_\lambda/\mathbb{F}_q,T)} = R_{\mathcal{A}}(q^kT^k,\lambda)^{20/k}R_{\mathcal{B}}(q^kT^k,\lambda)^{30/k}$$

where k is the smallest positive integer such that $q^k \equiv 1$ modulo 5 and the R's are quartic polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ which are not necessarily irreducible. Note, $k=1$, 2 or 4. Furthermore, they have constructed auxiliary curves $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, both of genus 4, whose zeta functions experimentally correspond to $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $R_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. It would be interesting to find these "auxiliary varieties" for general $n+1$ and see how they fit into the framework of mirror symmetry (if at all).

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

Without loss, we will write q instead of q^k in the following proof.

3.1 Formulas for $X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in terms of Gauss sums

Define the Gauss sums $G(k)$ as in Section 2. Also, let M be the $(n+2)\times(n+2)$-matrix defined in [2, Section 3].

Define the set

$$E := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2} | 0 \le k_i \le q-1 \text{ and } Mk \equiv 0 \bmod (q-1)\}.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$, define $s(k)$ as the number of non-zero entries in $Mk \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$. Next, define

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &:= \{k \in E | \text{not all } k_1,\ldots,k_{n+1} \text{ are the same, but } 0 \le k_{n+2} \le q-1\}\\
E_2 &:= \{k \in E | k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{n+1}, 0 \le k_{n+2} \le q-1\}\\
E_2^* &:= \{k \in E_2 | 0 < k_1 < q-1, s(k) = n+2\}\\
S_k &:= \frac{q^{n+1-s(k)}}{(q-1)^{n+3-s(k)}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n+2} G(k_j)\right)\chi(\lambda)^{k_{n+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, [2, Section 3] demonstrated that

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{-1}{q-1} + \sum_{k\in E_1} S_k + \sum_{k\in E_2} S_k.$$

Consider $k \in E$. Suppose $k_1 = \cdots = k_{n+1} = 0$. If $k_{n+2} = 0$, then $S_k = q^{n+1}/(q-1)$, else, if $k_{n+2} = q-1$, then $S_k = -(q-1)^n$. Similarly, suppose $k_1 = \cdots = k_{n+1} = q-1$. If $k_{n+2} = 0$, then $S_k = (-1)^{n+1}q^n$, else, if $k_{n+2} = q-1$, then $S_k = (-1)^n q^{n+1}/(q-1)$.

Next, notice

$$Mk = \begin{pmatrix} k_1+\cdots+k_{n+2} \\ (n+1)k_1 + k_{n+2} \\ (n+1)k_2 + k_{n+2} \\ \vdots \\ (n+1)k_{n+1} + k_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}. \tag{3.1}$$

If one of the rows equals zero, then we must have $k_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Thus, if $k \in E_2$ such that $0 < k_1 < q-1$, then all the rows of Mk must be non-zero; that is, $s(k) = n+2$. Putting this together with the last paragraph, we find that for $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^{n+1} + (-1)^n q^n - 1 - (q-1)^{n+1}}{q-1} + \sum_{k \in E_1} S_k + \sum_{k \in E_2^*} S_k. \tag{3.2}$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then Section 2 tells us that k_{n+2} is forced to equal zero. Thus, in the above calculations, we need to neglect all terms in which $k_{n+2} \neq 0$. Doing this, we obtain

$$\#X_0(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{k \in E_1} S_k + N_0^* + \frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1} + (-1)^{n+1} q^n + \frac{(-1)^n - (q-1)^n}{q}.$$

Let N_λ^* denote the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on the affine (toric) variety defined by

$$g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := x_1 + \cdots + x_n + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_n} + \lambda = 0.$$

In the proof of [2, Theorem 5.1], we saw that for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$N_\lambda^* = \frac{(q-1)^n}{q} + \frac{(-1)^n}{q(q-1)} + \sum_{k \in E_2^*} S_k. \tag{3.3}$$

Also, if $\lambda = 0$, we may calculate that

$$N_0^* = \frac{(q-1)^n}{q} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{q} + \sum_{k \in E_2^*} S_k.$$

For ease of reference, recall from [2, Section 2] that, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$), we have

$$\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = N_\lambda^* - \frac{(q-1)^n}{q} + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} + \frac{q^n - 1}{q-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.2 Finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1

For $\lambda \neq 0$, combining equations (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) yields

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) - \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{k \in E_1} S_k - (q-1)^n + \frac{q^{n+1} + (-1)^n q^n + (-1)^{n+1} - q^n}{q-1}.$$

Similarly, if $\lambda = 0$, then

$$\#X_0(\mathbb{F}_q) - \#Y_0(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^n[(-1)^{n+1} + 1] + \sum_{k \in E_1} S_k.$$

We may now prove Theorem 1.1 by demonstrating that the right-hand sides of the above two formulas equal zero when $gcd(n+1, q-1) = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $gcd(n+1, q-1) = 1$, then the right-hand sides are zero.*

Proof. Let $k \in E$. Suppose $k_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Then, by (3.1), we see that $(n+1)k_i \equiv (n+1)k_j$ modulo $q-1$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq q-1$. By hypothesis, $n+1$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$, and so $k_i = k_j$. This means that, if $k \in E_1$ then at least one of the first $n+1$ coordinates must be zero.

Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose $k \in E_1$ and its first i coordinates are zero. Then (3.1) tells us that k_{n+2} is either zero or $q-1$. In the *first case*, we have $k_{i+1} = \cdots = k_{n+1} = q-1$ and $s(k) = n+2$. In the *second case*, again we have $k_{i+1} = \cdots = k_{n+2} = q-1$, but $s(k) = (n+2) - i$. This leads to the following formulas:

$$\text{When } k_{n+2} = 0 \text{ (first case): } S_k = (-1)^{(n+1)-i} q^n$$
$$\text{When } k_{n+2} = q-1 \text{ (second case): } S_k = (-1)^{n-i}(q-1)^{i-1} q^{(n+1)-i}.$$

(Note, if $\lambda = 0$, then k_{n+2} must be zero, and so, the second case never occurs.) Permuting these zeros around in $\binom{n+1}{i}$ ways among the first $n+1$ coordinates gives us all possible points in E_1. That is, if we set

$$A := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^{(n+1)-i} q^n \qquad \text{(counts first case)}$$

and

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^{n-i} (q-1)^{i-1} q^{(n+1)-i} \qquad \text{(counts second case)},$$

then we have $\sum_{k\in E_1} S_k = A + B$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, else $\sum_{k\in E_1} S_k = A$ for $\lambda = 0$. Now, by the binomial theorem, we see that

$$A = q^n[(1-1)^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1} - 1] = q^n[(-1)^n - 1]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (q-1)^{-1}(-1)^n[(-(q-1)+q)^{n+1} - q^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1}(q-1)^{n+1}] \\ &= (q-1)^{-1}[(-1)^n + (-1)^{n+1}q^{n+1} + (q-1)^{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k\in E_1} S_k = q^n[(-1)^n - 1] + \frac{(-1)^n + (-1)^{n+1}q^{n+1} + (q-1)^{n+1}}{q-1}$$

which proves the lemma. □

4 The proof of Theorem 1.3

Let us recall what we will prove.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $d := gcd(n+1, q^k - 1) > 1$. Then*

1. *$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv 0$ modulo d.*
2. *Writing $\lambda = -(n+1)\psi$ in the new parameter ψ, if $n+1$ is a power of a prime ℓ, then*

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv 0 \ mod(\ell d) \quad \text{and} \quad \#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \psi^{n+1} = 1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \ mod(\ell).$$

Proof. Without loss, we will write q instead of q^k in the following proof. First, let us prove the congruences on X_λ. We do this by gathering all the points of X_λ that have the same number of coordinates zero. For each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, define M_i^* as the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in $\mathbb{P}^{n-i}_{\mathbb{F}_q^*}$ which lie on the diagonal hypersurface

$$x_{i+1}^{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n+1}^{n+1} = 0.$$

Notice that the group

$$G_i(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{(\zeta_{i+1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) | \zeta_j \in \mathbb{F}_q, \zeta_j^{n+1} = 1\} / \{(\zeta, \ldots, \zeta) | \zeta^{n+1} = 1\}$$

acts freely on the set of points defining M_i^*. Since there are $d := gcd(n+1, q-1)$ many $(n+1)$-roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $\#G_i(\mathbb{F}_q) = d^{n+1-i}/d = d^{n-i}$. Consequently, d^{n-i} divides M_i^*. Next, let M_0^* be the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{F}_q^*}$ which lie on X_λ. The group

$$G(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) | \zeta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, \zeta_i^{n+1} = 1, \zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_{n+1} = 1\} / \{(\zeta, \ldots, \zeta) | \zeta^{n+1} = 1\}$$

acts freely on the points defining M_0^*, and so $\#G(\mathbb{F}_q) = d^n$ divides M_0^*. Putting this together, we have

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = M_0^* + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{i} M_i^*.$$

This proves the first part of the theorem since each M_i^* is divisible by d. If $n+1$ is a power of a prime ℓ, then not only are the M_i^* divisible by d, but each of the binomial factors are divisible by ℓ; this proves the congruence on X_λ in the second part of the theorem.

We now assume $n+1$ is a power of a prime ℓ. Let us prove the congruence on Y_λ. With $\lambda = -(n+1)\psi$, recall from (3.4) that

$$\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = N_\lambda^* - \frac{(q-1)^n}{q} + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} + \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$$

where N_λ^* is the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1} - (n+1)\psi = 0 \\ x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} = 1 \end{cases} . \tag{4.1}$$

We claim that $\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv N_\lambda^*$ modulo ℓ. Since $gcd(n+1, q-1) > 1$, $q \equiv 1$ modulo ℓ. Using the fact that $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$, we have: if ℓ is an odd prime (the even case is similar), then

$$-\frac{(q-1)^n}{q} + \frac{(-1)^n}{q} + \frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \equiv -0 + 1 + n \equiv 0 \mod(\ell).$$

This proves the claim.

Since we now have $\#Y_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv N_\lambda^*$ modulo ℓ, we will concentrate on computing N_λ^*. Consider counting the points on (4.1) as follows: suppose a point $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ has two coordinates equal. Then we may permute these two around in $\binom{n+1}{2}$ ways without changing the *order* of the other coordinates. Thus, the orbit of the point x under this type of permutation contains $\binom{n+1}{2}$ points contained in the affine toric variety defined by (4.1). Note that we are not overcounting the points which have multiple pairs of coordinates being the same, like $(1, 1, 2, 2, 2)$. If all the coordinates of x are different then we may permute these around in $(n+1)!$ ways.

Putting this together, we find, modulo ℓ:

$$N_\lambda^*(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#\{x \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}_{\mathbb{F}_q} | \text{ all coordinates are equal and } x \text{ satisfies (4.1)}\}.$$

If all the coordinates are equal then we have the system $(n+1)x - (n+1)\psi = 0$ and $x^{n+1} = 1$. By hypothesis, $n+1$ is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_q$, thus, we have $x = \psi$ for the first equation, and so $\psi^{n+1} = 1$ for the second. Therefore,

$$N_\lambda^* \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \psi^{n+1} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \mod(\ell).$$

□

References

[1] Candelas, de la Ossa, Villegas, *Calabi-Yau Manifolds over Finite Fields, II*, Fields Institute Communiucations, Vol. 38, N. Yui and James D. lewis (eds.), pp. 121–157, 2003. arXiv:hep-th/0402133 v1

[2] Daqing Wan, *Mirror Symmetry for Zeta functions*, arXiv:math.AG/0411464

[3] Daqing Wan, *Higher rank case of Dwork's conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (2000), 807-852.

[4] Daqing Wan, *Rank one case of Dwork's conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (2000), 853-908.